

What the documents support

Origin Dossier / A worked example for a prospective matcha buyer

ILLUSTRATIVE SAMPLE / FICTIONAL SUPPLIER & DOCUMENTS
Created by ONREAL. Not client work, a laboratory report, or proof of origin.

REVIEW QUESTION

Do the supplied records support the claims for lot DEMO-26-A?

Scope: one fictional supplier, one product/lot, five claims. Three mock input records are reproduced on page 3. One public Japanese source supplies general process context only.

1

DOCUMENT MATCH

1

INCONSISTENCY

3

NOT ESTABLISHED

Counts describe this example only; they are not quality or confidence scores.

Priority: resolve the harvest conflict

The specification says first harvest, while the mock supplier reply says second harvest for the same lot. Ask which record is correct and request a dated, lot-linked correction before relying on the first-harvest claim.

Still missing

Records connecting the lot to its leaf-growing origin, processing and milling. Repeated statements in supplier documents alone do not independently verify the product.

An unverified claim is not evidence that a supplier is dishonest. This review identifies what remains unresolved; the buyer retains the purchasing decision.

Five claims, five next actions

Finding / supporting record / specific follow-up

ILLUSTRATIVE SAMPLE / FICTIONAL SUPPLIER & DOCUMENTS
Created by ONREAL. Not client work, a laboratory report, or proof of origin.

01 / DOCUMENT MATCH

The specification and packing record refer to the same lot.

Evidence: D1 and D2 both identify DEMO-26-A. This is internal consistency only; no physical shipment was checked.

Next: Keep the shared identifier on subsequent records.

02 / NOT ESTABLISHED

The tea leaves were grown in Japan.

Evidence: D1 states Japan; D2 gives only the packing country. No grower or production record was supplied.

Next: Request a record linking the leaf-growing location and producer to this lot.

03 / INCONSISTENCY

This lot is from the first harvest.

Evidence: D1 says first harvest; D3 says second harvest for DEMO-26-A. The correct statement is unresolved.

Next: Ask for a dated correction and a lot-linked harvest record.

04 / NOT ESTABLISHED

This lot was ground with a stone mill.

Evidence: D1 states stone-milled, but no milling record appears in D1-D3. The assertion is not independently supported.

Next: Request the processor, equipment description and lot-linked milling record.

05 / NOT ESTABLISHED FOR THIS LOT

This lot uses steamed tencha dried without rolling.

Evidence: D1 describes this process; S1 supports the general no-rolling description of tencha. It does not establish how this lot was made.

Next: Request the lot-linked production record; use S1 as context only.

A traceable evidence trail

Mock input records / Japanese reference / scope limits

ILLUSTRATIVE SAMPLE / FICTIONAL SUPPLIER & DOCUMENTS
Created by ONREAL. Not client work, a laboratory report, or proof of origin.

D1-D3 below are invented for this demonstration.

D1 / MOCK PRODUCT SPECIFICATION

Supplier: Demo Supplier A. Product: matcha. Lot: DEMO-26-A. Leaf-growing country: Japan. Harvest: first harvest. Milling: stone mill. Process: shaded leaves, steamed and dried without rolling, then milled.

D2 / MOCK PACKING RECORD

Supplier: Demo Supplier A. Product: matcha. Lot: DEMO-26-A. Packed in Japan. No leaf-growing location, harvest information or processing records included.

D3 / MOCK SUPPLIER REPLY

Regarding lot DEMO-26-A: the tea is from the second harvest. No supporting production or milling records attached.

S1 / PUBLIC JAPANESE SOURCE

Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (Japan), consumer Q&A: Types of green tea, section 2, tencha (matcha). Accessed 12 Sep 2026.

www.maff.go.jp/j/heya/sodan/1404/01.html

ONREAL paraphrase: tencha is steamed and dried without rolling; matcha is made by finely grinding tencha. This general explanation cannot verify an individual lot. English wording is ONREAL's, not an official translation.

LIMITS OF THIS EXAMPLE

No real supplier, product or client documents were assessed. No laboratory testing, inspection, authenticity certification, food-safety assessment, legal/customs advice or brokerage. No guarantee of origin or certification validity.